ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಧಾನ ಸಭೆ

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Saturday, the 28th March 1970.

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at Thirty Minutes past Eight of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. D. KOTHAVALE) in the Chair.

[*Quorum formed at 8-33* A.M.]

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

Purchase of Railway Wagon Scrap by M.I.S.L., Bhadravathi

94. Sri N. A. CHANNE GOWDA (Pandavapura).—

Will the Minister for Industries, Information and Publicity be pleased to state :—

(*a*) since how long the Mysore Iron and Steel Limited, Bhadravathi is purchasing railway wagon scrap and the total value of purchases made since 196) up-to-date ;

(*b*) the method followed in purchasing railway wagon scrap and the rate at which it was purchased ;

(*c*) whether regular accounts of the transactions are maintained and audited annually;

(*d*) who was the purchasing officer and what was his special qualification to fix the purchase rate ;

(*e*) whether the M.I.S.L. Limited, Bhadravathi, suffered any loss in this connection and if so, amount of loss ?

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY (Minister for Industries, Information and Publicity).—

(*a*) The Mysore Iron and Steel Limited has not purchased Railway Wagon Scrap.

(*b*) to (*e*) Does not arise in view of reply to (*a*).

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Sir, I want to clarify one point, perhaps there is confusion in the mind of the hon. Member. The question relates to railway wagon and not to the scrap. That relates to the general scrap.

Sri N. A. CHANNE GOWDA.—In my question I have mentioned clearly railway wagons scrap that is supplied by the railways to manufacture sleeper plates.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—We heve not purchased railway wagon scrap.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—Is the Minister aware that general scrap includes railway wagon scrap also ?

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—I want notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಬಿ. ಜ್ವಾಲನಯ್ಯ.—1967-68ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ 12,412.7 ಟನ್‌ಗಳಷ್ಟು ಸ್ಕ್ರಾಪ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೨,೫೬೭.೫ ಟನ್‌ಗಳಷ್ಟು ಮಾತ್ರ ಬಂದು ಇನ್ನು ಉಳಿಕೆಯಾದ ಸುಮಾರು 7.54 ಟನ್‌ಗಳಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸದೇ ಇರುವುದರ ಬಗೆ ಸರಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಇದರ ಲೆಕ್ಕಪತ್ರಗಳಿಂದ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರಕಾರ ಏನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ ?

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—The point raised by the hon. Member does not relate to railway wagon scrap.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Is not the Hon. Minister taking cover under the technical flaw in the framing of the question because railway wagon scrap includes other kinds of scrap also ? Is it correct and is it not the responsibility of the Speaker to pull up the Minister and to ask him to answer the question correctly?

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—The railway wagon scrap according to the hon. Member does not include other scraps but other scraps includes railway wagon scrap.

Sri ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—Is it not a fact that in the year 1966 the railway wagons scrap was purchased at Hubli and it was disposed of there alone and in lieu of that scrap, other scraps were supplied ?

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—In the first place the allegation is not correct and that supplementary does not arise out of this.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ.—ಭದ್ರಾವತಿ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಕ್ರಾಪ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಗೆ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗಿರುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿದೆ ?

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—The hon. Member is not correct. He has not been fully informed about it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡಬೋರೇಗೌಡ.—ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಈ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಗೆ ಬೀಡುಕಬ್ಬಿಣ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡರು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಅಂತಹ ಬೀಡು ಕಬ್ಬಿಣವನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡರು ಮತ್ತು ಇದರಿಂದ ಎಷ್ಟು ನಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ ?

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—We are going out of the purview of the question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎ. ಜೆನ್ನೇಗೌಡ.—ಆಡಿಟ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ 28ನೇ ಪೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವಂತೆ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ರೈಲುಬಂಡಿಯ ಚೂರುಪಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

National Rural Water Supply Scheme for Humnabad Town

287. Sri V. N. PATIL (Humnabad).—

Will the Minister of State for Municipal Administration be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether there was any request and representation made to the Government by the Town Municipality, Humnabad for taking up the protected water supply scheme at Humnabad Town under the National Rural Water Supply Scheme ;

(*b*) whether the proceedings of the Town Municipal Council, Humnabad, dated 26th November 1968 have been received by the Government and if so, on what date and the steps the Government has taken so far ;

(*c*) whether the Humnabad Town Municipality is or is not eligible for the benefits as the population of the Humnabad Town is far below 20,000 ;

(*d*) whether it has come to the notice of Government that the Deputy Commissioner has strongly recommended for this scheme to be sanctioned under the N. R. W. S. scheme and further has held that the said Municipality is the only Municipality functioning promptly and properly ;

(*e*) whether there are any difficulties in sanctioning the above N. R. W. S. Scheme ;

(*f*) the stage at which the matter is pending now ; the extent of time the Government will take to sanction the request of the Municipality under N. R. W. S. Scheme ;

(*g*) whether any correspondence has taken place between the Government of India and Government of Mysore in the above matter ?